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POLITICS

The political situation in Poland and Pilsudski's provocation policy

by Karl Radek.

The situation in Poland becomes more intense every day. The main factor that aggravates this situation is Poland's complete financial bankruptcy. The weekly, "The Tribune", a Polish opportunist sheet presents the following picture of the approaching catastrophe in its issue of the 8th of October:

"A Swiss franc now costs from 800 to 900 mark; for 100 Soviet rubles one gets 2 or 3 Polish marks. What does that signify? Merely that Polish currency stands 18 times nearer to the Soviet currency than it does to the Swiss. This relation can be graphically represented as follows: the Polish mark is 1 kilometer to the right of the Soviet ruble, 1.5 km to the left of the German mark, 3 km from the French franc, and 18 km from the Swiss franc. Two years ago one received 4 Polish marks for 2 French marks. Now the French franc costs 400 Polish marks. In the course of two years Polish exchange suffered an unheard-of depreciation—10,000 per cent. For two years the Polish currency was sinking at the rate of 15 per cent per day, or 0.6 per cent per hour. During the same period the exchange of "poor Austria" sank only 1,400 per cent, or 2 per cent per day. From March of this year until autumn the currency dropped 30 per cent. These are only relative figures. We are to use an absolute scale (i.e. one gold franc: 0.8 Polish gold marks) the depreciation the Polish currency would be 200 days, or 340 per cent per day. That means that a person possessing 100,000 Polish marks on April 1st could then buy 715 carats of gold, to-day the Polish government gets for the same sum 485 gold marks. The National Assembly is now proposing to annul this law of the 10th of July, 1920, which was passed in order to stimulate the war-tax of the peasantry. Now that there is no war for the moment, the Government is to withdraw from all its obligations and leave the purchase of the land from the Junkers to the peasants. The State is to be cleared of all financial obligations. At the same time the right to day is to be displaced by a ten-hour day. Such measures will yield no favorable results just now, not even for the capitalists, because unemployment increases from day to day. These measures may serve, however, as a substitute, which will present the Junkers and capitalists, together with the Agrarian reforms, a sum of 80 billion Polish marks. But Mr. Michalski is playing the part of a savior. His plan will save Poland's financial situation, not even if the ruling classes would give 80 billion of paper marks, which is doubtful. The depreciation of the Polish currency would stop only for a moment, because its main cause lies in the lack of faith which the bourgeoisie of the world has in the Polish bourgeoisie's policy. This distrust is clearly shown by the refusal to extend large credits since there can be no talk of any economic restoration of Poland. The attempt to establish the ten-hour day will lead to considerable social unrest, which is already heralded by the demonstrations of 80,000 workers in Warsaw. The culmination of the Agrarian reforms will speed up the process of radicalization among the poor peasants and the village-proletariat. The latter will be supported in their march to communism by the small peasants. Mr. Pilsudski and the Social-Democrats are certainly conscious of the growing danger. That is why the war-clique of the Belvedere (Pilsudski's palace) as well as Roman Dmowski's clique are striving for a dictatorship, so that as payment for a war with the Soviet republic they can get a big loan from France, and by belying the Polish proletariate secure the hinterland. The intentions of Pilsudski are beyond the shadow of a doubt. In his conference with Pannieu, the French ambassador in Warsaw, and with General Nisès, the French military agent, he has promised himself to defend the interests of the government of France against Soviet Russia. The recent attempt of the second division of the Polish regular staff at provocation of the Russian Embassy in Warsaw, clearly established the fact that Mr. Pilsudski will try in the course of the winter to bring the situation to a head, so that he can launch his attack in the spring.
which time Mr. Pilsudski and the French general-staff consider
more favorable because of their expectations that the
that this is an internal-democratic press speaks in a more moderate tone, but it would be folly to trust it.
The representatives of this party agreed with Panafieu's idea of
a campaign against Soviet Russia. They only demanded that the
the main instrument of the national-democratic press
Haller, and not to Pilsudski. The truth of this is established not
not only by our sources, but also by those of the "Manchester
Guardian", which published these reports based on information
from its London correspondent. I understood of course, that
the success of such a move depends upon the annihilation of the
Communist movement in Poland.

When a few weeks ago we declared that the Communist victory in the sickly-defeat elections of 1933, in
independently in Poland, and that its victories were having a
great influence upon the Polish government's policies, "Ro-
bońk", the official organ of the Polish Social-patriots, and the
official organ of Mr. Pilsudski, laughed at us. During this time the
Communists received a majority of votes in the elections in
Sozowitz, the center of the coal industry; they received 16,000
out of a total of 26,000 votes. The opportunistic weekly, the above-men
tioned "Tribune", writes: "Poland is at present permeated
by one great fear, the fear of Communism." The national-demo-
crats proposed in the Sejm the following: "Membership in the
Communist Party should be considered high treason and punished
by court-martial."
We do not know whether the Sejm will approve it. One thing is certain however, Mr. Pilsu-
udski knows only too well, that anything which can in any way scare away a class which could not be broken even by the court-
martial of Czariat absolutism. Pilsudski is surely preparing a
greater coup. He wants to prepare a blood-bath for the Polish
proletariat. The recent demonstrations have surely strengthened
the self-confidence of the workers: Mr. Pilsudski only hopes that
these demonstrations repeat themselves more often, and render
the workers more militant in their demands. Then he could fight
them with the assistance of the police-agents.

We hope the Polish Communists will consider the situation
from a sober point of view. Thanks to the chaos in Polish in-
dustry, and thanks to the scattered condition of the Polish prole-
taiat, its social influence is now much less than before the war.
Aside from that, its influence is sufficiently dissipated on the
power. As a result of Poland's economic decay, the village
movement will grow. The repeal of the agrarian-laws will speed it up, and bring nearer the moment when the proletariat will no
longer be isolated. The Polish Communists would certainly in any
strategic error, were they to force events. Their task now con-
sists in working with all their energy for a majority of the
workers everywhere; they must fight for it, and let their voices be
heard loudly from the legal tribunes by the Polish people. They
must launch a vast campaign in all factories against any attempt
to gag the communist Dombai, in the Polish Parliament. Let the
ruling classes know that the Polish proletariat will not stand for
having their representative shut up. Let the ruling class feel this in
its very bones. They are not organized yet, and their organi-
sations, except when the PPS, and the Christian labor-organis-
sations are doing so.

The Russian Soviet Government is ready to live in peace
with the bourgeois Polish government. We leave it to the Polish
workers. Mr. Pilsudski is making a big mistake when he thinks
that he will succeed in exhausting the patience of Russia, and
left a break of relations on the part of the Soviet-republic, or when
he hopes to succeed in starting a fire of national ennui, preparing
for war or destroying the Polish Communist Move-
ment by force of arms. Soviet Russia will loyally fulfill the Riga
agreement; it will always know how to acquaint the Polish people
coolly and calmly with any provocative attempt to break that
tag. Soviet Russia, like its sister state with Poland, regards
Russia regards the independence of Poland and the destruction
of the national pressure which burdened the Polish masses, as the
best means of liberating the Polish people from the influence of
the foreign yoke. It is Pilsudski and the Paris war-chieque
who need the war.

Moscow, Oct. 19, 1921.

JAPAN OF TO MORROW
by Kako Oba, member of the "Alliance of Japanese Socialists"

At the present there are two Japansthe old Japan, the Japan
of the oligarchy, the ruling class, and the new Japan of the
proletariat and youth. To-day, a half-century after the bour-
geois revolution, the proletarian revolution is being prepared
in Japan. Japan is apparently dominated by the militarists
and capital. This is only superficial, however. In the interior
of society, the influence of the class-conscious proletariat is at work,

attempts to establish a new system of society through its own
strength. Therefore, Japan which is a member of the League of
Nations, which exploits Korea as a colony, which takes up arms
against Soviet Russia, which inhibfreely suppresses socialist
organisations and even refuses the right to vote, is only
the Japan of to-day and not the Japan of to-morrow. The Japanese
proletariat is preparing itself for the class-struggle. In Japan
alone, where in July, 1921, the greatest strike in the history
of Japan took place, 38 strikes have occurred in the last eight
months, although the police and the military have interfered and
killed a large number of workers. In the last few years the
number of Japanese youths who have comprehended the idea of
the new society and as a result have become anti-militarists and
deserters, has increased enormously. The number of deserters
from the 17th of July, 1921, is 1,200. In Japan, the proletariat
and youth have no faith in political parties or parliamentar-
ism, are resisting militarism, preparing themselves for the
social revolution and are only waiting for the favorable op-
portunity. They demand the independence of Korea and require
the liberation of India from the English and of the Philippines
from the American yoke. In short, in their foreign policy they are
internationalists and in their domestic policy idealists.

There recently occurred the formation of trade-unions, not
only among manual-workers but also of teachers, bank-officials,
clerks, and the minor officials. One of the strongest united
is the "Salaried Employee's Union". Last year, even the
village poor formed their trade-union, one in each province. The
peasant movement in Japan has the same importance as the
strikes. The fact that the farmers are not yet

The position of the industrial workers and the village poor
is the same. They are beginning to unite. To sum up, I believe
that Japan will soon be Japan of the proletariat.

A PSYCHOLOGICAL REVIVAL
by Steklov (Moscow).

We have already noticed the symptoms of a psychological revival which impel certain elements of the intellectual bour-
geoisie to acknowledge the power of the Soviets. This revival
today is supported by a number of workers, by the peasant
masses, by the students, by the literary and artistic circles, and
very distinct and literary utterances which we find in a collection
of articles edited at Prague by Cadets who give up their former
goals and bend the knee before the young God of the Revolution.

In 1909 a group of repented Cadets and intellectuals (Berudaev, Bulgakov, Guerchenson, Izgoeev, Kistavytsky,
Struve, Frank) published the collection "Vechti" (The Sign-Post)
—which was devoted to the liquidation of the Revolution and
revolutionary hopes and which ended with the approval of the
strong government of the reaction incarnated in Stolypin. Moved
by the memory of this group, a number of former students of
Russian bourgeois intellectuals, the authors of the collection
of which we are treating publish their work at Prague in 1921,
that is to say after the failure of the counter-revolution, under
the title of "Stroma Vechti" (A Change of Guides). The fact is that
the change is profound.

Among the authors of this collection we find men such as
Professor L. Khichevich, former minister of Koltchak,
Professor Ystrian, former Director of Propaganda for the Kol-
tchak government, the Petrograd lawyer A. V. Bobritchew,
Pozhkin, collaborator with Denikin in 1919. The collection also
contains articles signed G. S. Loukianov, C. C. Chakhotin,
L. N. Potokhin—all of them intellectuals belonging to the bourgeoise class.
The bitter experience of four years of war strongly leads them to con-
clusions in the name of others of their kind.

We do not dream of giving a complete review of this work which the Soviet government would do well, in my opinion,
to re-edit and to spread, as much for the edification of the
intelligentsia, as for informing the laboring masses that their
heroism has constrained men of this epoch, and that it is only

to yield to revolutionary truth.

The theme in all the articles in question is the recognition of
the October Revolution and the renunciation of all struggle
against its results. The main thing is surprising that men who only
yesterday fought against the Revolution with open or secret
hands, should be able to understand so well its spirit and
historical mission.

They do not deplore the failure of the February Revolution
or the victory of the Koltchak government; they have been
in the October Revolution for the events of March 1917. In their opinion that
was nothing but a coup d'état, and the real revolution took place
October 25th. (We know that is has pleased the Mensheviks and
Social Revolutionaries recently to sustain exactly the contrary
opinion). They say plainly that the victory of the Cadets, the
THE INTERNATIONAL SITUATION

Washington and Ireland

by Arthur Rosenberg (Berlin)

Ireland once more proves to be the evil genius, so to speak, of the British Empire. If indications are not all deceptive, Lloyd George has been prevented from taking part in the Washington Conference, because of the danger of a new civil war in Ireland. Of all the leaders of the Entente governments, Mr. Harding will be able to greet only Mr. Briand in person, while from England only the lesser personalities will make the trip across the ocean. A very considerable portion of the population of the United States is of Irish extraction, and this is true not only of the proletariat, but also of the American bourgeoisie. The natural economic opposition between England and the United States experiences a particularly intense reinforcement through the very fact that the English and the Americans are of the same descent. It is known that the Irish Republican movement and together with it also the Republican army are being substantially financed from America. But the English government has put up with this circumstance in silence, because in spite of all the unfriendly acts it is careful not to provoke a break with the United States. If Lloyd George has for weeks been so patiently parleying at the conference-table with delegates of the Irish Republic, he did it, in a word, creative work, has laid down the foundations of a new Russia.

And the economic ruin? The authors do not deny it. Only, they assert that the responsibility for it lies with the enemies of Bolshevism, and that the power of the Bolsheviks is the only one which can pull Russia out of it. If it has not been able to obtain as yet a success in this field as great as its political successes, it is because the counter-revolution has not stopped putting obstacles in its way.

The authors of "Smena Vekhi" have no doubt of the stability of the Soviet regime and its ultimate victories. Russia will never again have either an autocracy, or a constitutional monarchy, or a bourgeois republic. That which will be founded will be a genuine Russian socialism and ultimate socialism. Russia will set up monuments for no one, not even for Lenin.

From all this the authors draw a conclusion which serves for the title of the article by Chkhokhiton "To Canossa." S. Chkhokhiton calls upon the bourgeois intellectuals to make their mea culpa, to stop all action against the Soviets and even to combat resolutely all attempts at action against them. "Events have shown us that we were wrong. Conscious of the interests of the country, we are ready to realize our mistake. We do not hesitate to say 'Let us enter the sheepfold, let us go to Canossa.' We were mistaken. Let us have the courage to admit it for ourselves and for others." Thus they call upon the intellectuals to place themselves at the service of the liberated Russian people, to participate in the education and industrial reconstruction of Russian society on the basis of rational production.

This impression, which will be directed from the camp of the counter-revolution against these repentant Cadets, they themselves know that they will be considered renegades—and they feel themselves above this insult. When at the time of the "Vekhi" of the past the Cadets disowned the Revolution in order to prepare a coalition with the bourgeoisie, they were undeniably renegades. But when men quit the party of possessors, of men whose hands are red with blood, when their ideology breaks with that of the exploiting class, when they declare themselves ready to pluck their experience and knowledge at the service of the liberated masses, it does not behove the Bourvitzes, the Alexinskyas and the Chernovs to call them renegades. Unfortunately for these socialists, however, the weak point of the "Vekhi" is that even the authors of "Smena Vekhi" know well that they express the real aspirations and interests of vast sections of the intellectual and to-day and to-morrow.

Izvestia, Oct. 13.
and promises them what they want all the glories of self-management: nationale flags, nationale postage stamps and so on—but all this without Ulster. But De Valera was even more cunning. He also promised a majority in Parliament for Mr. Griffith, but only—with Ulster. In this way the English capitalist government saw that it would have to show its colors—does it really wish to guarantee to the Irish effective economic independence now that this country has formed relations with America which make the German "Hole in the West" unhindered. It has extorted billions in payments from Germany, and will extort still more. It has cheated them of a financial capital in Turkey, and has in its pocket the agreement with Turkey which has formed relations with America which make the French the "balance index" in the next decisions in worldpolitics. In spite of all these results, Briand did not stand before the Chamber and the Senate as a victor during these last weeks, but instead has shown upon his head the most astounding bankruptcies. With wit and pathos, with nationalistic indignation and annoying personal recollections, the spokesmen of the Bloc National fell upon Briand. He had to muster all his eloquence to hold out. The situation had been out of his hands fourteen years, and he was frightened by the question of who and what will come after Briand.

In all respects Briand has represented the interests of French big capital as skillfully and as successfully as could be desired. What then do his opponents want of him? Their reasoning was often of this kind: "It does not matter, the Jew must be burned.

One Deputy of the Right put the following question to Briand: In thirteen years from now a plebiscite will take place in the Saar region to decide whether the Saar belongs to Germany or to France. If the decision should result in such disputes as are now going on over Upper Silesia, will the French government call anew upon the Council of the League of Nations to make an agreement? And will the French interests receive sufficient protection?"

Briand had a ready answer for this. It was easy for Briand to make short work of this nonsense, advancing the opinion that he had enough worries with the present. They might well spare him the worries of the time of elections thirteen years later.

The opposition further found fault because not all of Upper Silesia fell to the lot of Poland. Still further, it was objected that Germany's debt to the Entente was fixed at only 132 billion gold marks, which was 12 billions too little. For hours and hours there were bitter clashes over this supposed 12 billion gold marks. M. Tardeau could not be calmed at all, and drove the minister Louchier into a tight corner.

Yet it is clear that all these antics do not reach to the root of the matter. The Tardeaus and the Poincarés who are stirring up the tempest against Briand, are serious men who know precisely what they want, and have their motives. To be sure Briand had the advantage in the debates, in that he could develop his thoughts clearly and openly, whereas his opponents in certain respects had to content themselves with making intimations. But then, these intimations were precise enough. It is an indication of all this is that the French people had now decided that he had not occupied the Ruhr region. But the occupation of the Ruhr would have meant a breach in the Entente—a break with England. The opposition of the Right knows that, and it is ready to take that risk, if only Briand reduces itself to this—Briand wants French capital to lean even more heavily upon England. Tardeau, on the contrary, wants to supplant the English orientation by a Continental policy.

In France there are at present three foreign policies. There is the foreign policy of the revolutionary workers, centered in the Communist Party and in the Minority Labor-Unions—that is, the foreign policy of the Third International. Then we have two different foreign policies of the French bourgeoisie—Briand's foreign policy, and that of the Right. And between the revolutionary working-class and the bourgeoisie there is the sad spectacle of the Right Socialists, who are convinced that they have a foreign policy of their own, but in reality are only the herders of the masses of the bourgeoisie which corresponds to this low-tow policy towards the French bourgeoisie is that of the Independant Socialist Party, especially of the editorial-staff of the "Freiheit". The phrases about justice and freedom are the stock in trade of the French capitalist politicians. Not only has M. Briand them continually on his tongue, but Poincaré also makes assurances which one can hear at any time that the French are a peaceful nation and that they do not dream of conquest or enlargement of their territory. But that is merely the true of the large bourgeoisie of France. But when pronounced by the politicians of big capital, such protection are purely theatrical. But the Mensheviks on the right and left hands of the Rhine believe in this theatre-acting. The Breitscheidt and Hilferding are running along behind the triumphal chariot of French imperialism like street-urchins who run behind a carriage in the rain, and are happy when the vehicle splashes mud into their faces.
Briand and his opponents of the Right both wish the same thing: the establishment of the rule of capital over the whole European continent, which would enable the French to obtain control of all the coal and metal-deposits. We see there the re-establishment of the Napoleonic Empire, laid the foundation of the modern industrial age. Briand and Louchette are also far from willing to make any concessions whatsoever to the German bourgeoisie. Even the Wiesbaden agreement which is often pointed out as the beginning of a cooperation in "policy," is nothing more than a bluff of "Amsterdam"; it was absolutely directed by French capitalism and its vassals. But Briand sees the danger in France's isolation. He reminds his opponents of Waterloo and Sedan, the two catastrophes which have crushed France. He thus stood absolutely determined not to sever the bonds with England and America, but on the contrary to make use of this connection to continue to force new concessions from England, both in Europe and in the Orient. The Right Opposition is of the opinion that England will never willingly cooperate the occupation of the Ruhr by France and the transfer of the Ruhr mines into the hands of French capital. The successful establishment of French industrial power in Europe will therefore only be possible against England's opposition. Therefore a new orientation must be sought.

Tardieu and his friends believe that they can carry out their ideas without bringing about the isolation of France. First of all they wish to foster the relations between France and its colonial territories, founded thus on facts that is with Portuguese, French, Yugoslav, Belgian and Italian.

Tardieu further recommends an understanding with Japan if even a temporary alliance between England and America results at Washington. It is extremely worth while to notice how the sympathies with America professed by the extreme Right have been abandoned, since it has become apparent that the Anglo-American opposition is being bridged over for the time being.

And then, the politicians about Tardieu and Poincaré have a brilliant idea. The French minister in order that the historical Franco-Russian Dual Alliance might be revived. French capital's anti-Bolshevik policy explains itself through the necessity of collecting the old debts in Russia. French capital is thus able to acquire an immense field of exploitation, in the world in which it is trying to find a counter-pose to England in an allied Russia. The Franco-Russian-Japanese alliance is the best answer to an Anglo-Saxon understanding at Washington. Such a safety-measure France can complete the transformation of Germany into its colony. Briand is as yet inclined to use the German industrials as subordinates. Tardieu, on the contrary, wants to remove them entirely and to take over their interests.

It is a question, therefore, for the extreme French nationalists on the watchword which to win over the masses to this new imperialist orientation. This watch-word is ready to hand—the French petty-bourgeois and peasants are told that they must pay such heavy taxes only because a lack of revenue and that the French must do nothing to make the Germans pay regularly. Briand, on the contrary, fears, as he openly admitted in the Chamber, the time when all classes of the French people will realize that a billion-paying Germany is an illusion. It would like to prepare the French masses gradually for the fact that things will not turn out altogether as the French nationalism orators had promised at the last elections. But for the moment Tardieu's watchwords possess more attraction.

This time the Bloc National shrunk back before the task of accomplishing this change of direction in French foreign policy and Briand received the vote of confidence which he requested for his trip to Washington. But the inward logic of the present world-political crisis speaks in favor of Tardieu and Briand. The extreme Right reserves itself the financial capitalists must take advantage of even the most possible opportunities for conquests. They will choose Tardieu's road and on this road they will break their necks.

France's Agreement with Kemal

by Heinz Neumann (Berlin).

The negotiations between France and the Turkish-nationalist Government of Angora, which have been going on for months, have been concluded. The agreement was signed by Yusuf Kemal Bey, the minister of foreign affairs for the Angora Government, and Franklin Boulou, the French ambassador. The Turkish National assembly ratified it on the 20th of October. The result of these negotiations was influenced by the intermittent course of the Greco-Turkish war. Again, the stand which France took in its relations with Kemal decidedly influenced the unity of the allies. Every time the Greek army, the standard-bearer of English imperialism in Asia Minor, was victorious, London dominated Paris in the supreme Council. The various stages of the "Treaty-of-Silesia" negotiations, which were held between France and Germany, offer a inner parallel to the events on the Greco-Turkish battle front. When during the summer, after the battle of Kutahia, King Constantine's troops carried their offensive through the gates of Chræmonas' and Constantine's chances for an undivided Silesia were most favorable. On the other hand, the clever tactics did Briand succeed in constantly postponing the meeting of the Supreme Council, which was more and more demanded by England and George, and in putting off the final decision over Upper-Silesia for months by having it transferred to the League of Nations Council.

The Geneva decision for the division of Upper-Silesia, in October 1921, was handed down in a totally new situation. Following General Papas' victory in the battle of Kutahia, came the decision of the Greek army, which captured the Angora battle front on the 15th of September, and resulting in a complete rout of the Greek army by Kemal Pasha. This event greatly interfered with the English plans in the Near East. England could no longer disregard France's good will. France's policy in Turkey became a weighty factor, against which the promises the possession of Constantinople and the straits and the colonization of Asia Minor by the English bourgeoisie became impossible.

With the aid of the Anglo-American differences, Briand had his hand's free in his grip, and he thus succeed in carrying out his Geneva decision, which he had dreamed of for nothing more than to make the “” of Angora.”

On the contrary, Briand sought with increased energy to bring these negotiations to a conclusion through his confidential agent, the liberal deputy, and director of many French financial enterprises, M. Franklin-Boulou. The peace between Paris and Angora was signed last week.

What are its contents? Two interpretations are possible. The correct one is probably the one first published in the “Manchester Guardian,” and accepted by the English press. According to this view, the agreement guarantees to the Turkish Nation theirs "absolutely independent existence in the limits of the national boundaries," which according to their program, gives them the whole of Anatolia, including Smyrna, and almost the entire region of European Turkey of 1914, including Thrace with Adrianople. The international Allied Control, which practically means England's control, of the straits is to be discontinued, and complete control of the Dardanelles, the Sea of Marmora and the Bosporus is to be left to the Turks. Instead of the reparations which were to be made by the Turks according to the Sévres agreement, France guarantees Turkey. In return for all these generous concessions, France asks more than to be granted an "economic sphere of influence" for an indefinite period, a number of commercial privileges, which are worked out in detail, concessions for the completion of the Bagdad Railway, the occupation of parts of the sea lanes between Bosanti and Nisibin, and the recognition of France's protectorate in Syria, together with "guarantees" in Cilicia, from which region France formally withdraws its troops. Of course the French telegraph-agencies deny these reports of the agreement.

The "Tempo" writes in its characteristic pose of the harmless friend of humanity:

"The negotiations carried on by Franklin-Boulou were mainly over the freeing of French prisoners-of-war. Beside this, the establishment of "indenominable" agreements for the clearing of Cilicia were discussed. The frontier decided upon resembles the one suggested at London; then, as was requested by the Turks, and in the interests of both parties the abolition of commercial restrictions was agreed upon. Our troops will not leave Cilicia without guarantees, and the rights of the national religious minorities will be protected (1)."

We notice what great pains are taken to deny everything which rouses England's suspicion. Briand acts as if it were only a question of insessional amendments to the peace of Sévres, whereas really this treaty is completely overthrown by the Angora agreement, in which the economic interests of England and France are involved. France thus makes a decided step towards the subjugation and capitalist exploitation of Turkey in sharpest competition with English Imperialism. Even the "Tempo" admits it in a sly way:

"An understanding seems to have been reached which protects French interests in the economic field."
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The Reorganization of Russian Industry

by E. Varga.

The new economic policy of Russia necessitated a reorganization of Russian industries. The system in use now which consists of a communistic management of all trades all the way down to 5 workers, had shown itself too burdensome and too bureaucratic an obstacle in the path of production. The Russian distances and the poor means of communication brought it about that the central management of the immense number of small industries because too troublesome an impediment to increased production. The organizing capacity of the Russian proletariat clearly does not suffice for an organized control of all industries with their numerous small trades, besides keeping up the army and state-management.

The changes effected in the system of our food-policy made it necessary to enable the peasant to exchange his surplus for manufactured articles. The state-machine however, is not fitted to undertake this exchange with the peasant directly. This situation therefore necessitated several changes in the industrial-policy of Russia as against the

1. The first change consists in the permission to trade in all non-monopolized products, and in the liberty to build up industries and to sell their products in the open market. This liberty was enjoyed only by trades with less than 5 workers. Now this is done away with under the new scheme that no limit is set to the number of workers to be employed.

2. The recognition of the fact that the organizing capacity of the Russian proletariat was insufficient for the communistic management of tens of thousands of small trades, led to the elimination of those small industries which were not important to the community, and to the letting of these to private enterprise or companies. For the present no estimate of the importance or number of industries thus let can be given. Judging by the reports from the individual states, it is to be surmised that from 40 to 50 per cent of all industries will in this way be let. But since these are the small industries which are to be let out, the reduction in the State community-production will amount to only about 25 %.

Such changes as the permission to trade in the surplus products of the peasants, the freedom of industrial production and legal trade, and the letting of the small state-industries, mean a renewal of private economy, i.e., trade and in the long run, capitalism in Russia. It would be idiotic to deny this. Under the given circumstances, the new small number and size limited organizing-capacity of the Russian proletariat, and with the isolation of the proletarian regime in the whole world and the overwhelming peasant-character of the country, a prolongation of the system of war communism would be a loss of our agricultural resources and the earnings of the peasantry to a closed household, to an inability to feed the army and the industrial proletariat, and finally to the overthrow of the dictatorship.

So far as the central control had to be loosened; the latter had to confine itself to those parts which it actually could control; and the remainder had to be reorganized according to changed circumstances. This made a third change necessary.

3. Such larger industries as the mining industry, the railroads, and also foreign trade, which remained under the state economic control, had to be adapted to the actuality of an open market. The strict bureaucratic rules of state economic control had to be loosened. The industries were, from a commercial standpoint, organized into trusts which enjoy a greater freedom of management than they have had until now. In contrast to the system in use until now, these may satisfy certain needs directly in the open market and also place a part of their products on the market and workmen as well as capitalists are increased in the prosperity of the undertakings by receiving part of the profits. In this way these industries enter into competition in certain fields, with the newly created private industries, and with the big capitalist enterprises which are no longer based on a competition for markets, for raw material, and working-power.

Due to the new policy, changes are also taking place in other fields. Gold, which until now was constantly losing significance, through the open market now becomes an important factor.

The English bourgeoisie is worried by the agreement. The indignation is so strong that a not unconsiderable number of newspapers have declared against the agreement. A few days ago Lord Curzon sent a note to Paris, demanding an explanation of Franklin Bouillon's activities. Even the liberal sheets express their regret over "France's egoism", but at the same time consider it through time to be inevitable and advantageous for both parties, for the enterprises in the Near East. But the voices of these left-liberal outsiders are dying away unheard. The classical policy of D'Anville—the Anglicising of Asia Minor and a grip on Constantinople's hereditary riches, offered to the necessity for England, to the mid of the economic crisis, the contraction of the world-market and a fermenting India, Mesopotamia and Egypt. A liquidation of England's undertakings in the Near East is not to be thought of. Only the power of achieving England's aims will be altered. Greece is to-day a corpse. Lloyd George does not as a rule base his policies upon the sid of corpses. The support of King Constantine has visibly diminished. England too will have to seek an understanding with Angora.

A change in France's attitude to Greece is also in the air. In spite of the attentions of Prime Ministers Gur- naria, Briand is nevertheless flirting with Greece. In the Al- banian frontier—question, he silently encourages it against Greece and Italy, in order to shift Greek nationalismism from Asia Minor and Thrace to the Epirus region, thus giving him a free- hand in the south of the Aegean Sea.

The French bourgeoisie is in agreement with Angora not only out of pure political rivalry with England, but also because it has very distinct interests in Turkey. Firstly—economic—almost all the Turkish loans are in the hands of Paris financial interests: numerous enterprises, harbor facilities, the tobacco monoculture with its confederate, the important Smyrna- Kasaba line, belong to the "Credit Lyonnais" and to other big banking interests. The new important economic concessions, as well as the chief participation in the Bagdad railroad extensions, Helfisch offer to the French imperialism on the Orient new unbounded vistas of expansion.

But there is another view-point. Kemal Pasha was until now in close connection with Soviet Russia; not out of love, but because of necessity. This necessity has now vanished. At the same time that the Angora agreement was concluded, Briand made a brilliant chess-move, shortly before Washington. He took the initiative in bringing about a peaceful understanding between Constantine and Kemal. This intervention has a certain chance of success because both sides are bled white—a success for Kemal, and for Greece, but above all a defeat for England. The confidence in Angora which is lacking in the undeveloped commercial-bourgeoisie of Turkey is naturally eager for the conquest of the markets and mineral wealth of Soviet Armenia, Soviet Aserbeidzjan, and Soviet Georgia. Its opposi- tion to Angora, which has shown itself in such instances, is becoming acute. This is a toehold morsel for French imperialism, which, after the bankruptcy of its mercenar- ries in Siberia, Ukraine and Western Russia, now can attempt to collect the billions of the Czar's debts from the naptha-sources of Bash, and from the swarms of the Lausanian workers and peasants. It should not be forgotten that in Gallipoli and its en- virons, there are 80,000 beaten White-guards who because of their very demoralization are ready for anything.

Again the resemblance between Anatolia and Upper: Sileia looms up as follows: Just as in Upper Sileia, so in Asia Minor and the French are the watchdow of a military and economic base against Soviet Russia. If Poland is to be the European watchdog of the French bourgeoisie, Tur- key is to be its Asiatic one. If Kemal does not want to play Pilsudski, it is because he is either Berek Sami Bey or another one will be found who will.

In this way, the Angora treaty means an intensification of the Anglo-French conflict on the one hand, and a serious endangering of Soviet Russia on the other. This would mean an immediate danger to the proletarian revolution.

It is interesting to note that at the same time that the treaties of St. Germain and Trianon are falling to pieces through the events taking place in Hungary, the Sèvres-treaty, the de- clared war in the Near East, and London's plan of a military alliance being torn to bits. In this wise the great organized capitalist attempt, is marching step by step towards the bankruptcy of its "Peace treaties"; even if this process is disguised by such outward forms as "Agreements" and "Amendments".
The industries, including state industries, are assuming a money basis; government money-institutions and payments in currency are being established. The new policy consists of the concentration of the state control on the most important industries and the concentration of the best organizing powers in this field. By means of these the following results will be achieved: Those industries which are not under direct state control will begin to flourish; secondly, those industries in the hands of companies and private enterprise will, due to private initiative, also produce more than before and at the same time the conditions of production will be given an opportunity to satisfy their needs in manufactured articles upon the open market, no longer restricted by the state. Part of our gains are this given up for the better organization of what remains.

The fate of the "Deutsche Werke" by M. Philips Price.

The Deutsche Werke, which up to the end of the war was the great arsenal and State munition factory for the Prussian army, was after the armistice reorganized on a peace footing. The process of reorganization has been going on for the last two years and is not completed yet. But it has nevertheless proceeded far enough to make it possible to employ some 30,000 workmen in Spandau, Erfurt and Hanau on the repair of locomotives and the manufacture of automobile parts and sporting guns. The Prussian State thus possesses a nationalized industry working for peaceful purposes in competition with the trusts and syndicates of the great capitalists. In addition to this the Workers' Council at the Deutsche Werke has the full powers, which are conferred upon workers' council by virtue of the Betriebsratengesetze. It exercises control over the management of the works. Moreover the employees are not reckoned as State officials (Staatsbeamten) but as employees of a firm, in which the State possesses the whole of the share-capital. Thus the Deutsche Werke represents an industry, which is half-nationalized.

But the industry has suffered for some time past from difficulties resulting from the fact that the management is dependent upon the great Stinnes Trusts for the supply of such important raw materials as coal and iron ore. As long as these "key" materials, which form the basis of all industry, are in the hands of the Trusts, it is clear that a nationalized and industrialized industry like the Deutsche Werke cannot possibly flourish. Incidentally also this fact throws much light upon the reason why the industrial capitalists fight so stubbornly against any nationalization or iron industry. As long as these are in private hands they know they have a strangle hold upon the metal and other "finished" industries of any country. It is thus not surprising to hear that Stinnes has maintained his position in the last struggle of the Workers' Council for the Deutsche Werke, for he knows quite well that, if once a State-owned industry in which the Workers' Council has considerable power, establishes itself firmly in North Germany, the germ will have sprouted, which will sooner or later undermine his industrial monopolies.

But now a new factor comes on the scene. Last year the Interallied Control Commission in Berlin inspected the Deutsche Werke and ordered certain alterations in the machinery to be made so that the same could be used for the production of munitions. Unfortunately, however, after the war, Germany with the transference of the works from a war to a peace footing and did not go beyond the demands of the Versailles Treaty, repairing the German government to cease the manufacture of war munitions. Suddenly about two months ago, however, the Interallied Control Commission sent in a note to the Direction of the Deutsche Werke, ordering them to destroy in part and in part to hand over to the French government a whole number of valuable machines, which are employed purely on peaceful work. The execution of this order means nothing less than the complete ruin of the Deutsche Werke and in Spandau alone in the immediate future over 6,000 workmen are threatened with dismissal.

The demands of the Allied Commission would be explicable, if they confined themselves solely to the forbidding of the use of machinery, then the problem would be a simple one. This is not the case, except in so far as the demands on the branch of the Deutsche Werke in Hanau are concerned, where the Commission has ordered the destruction of a portion of the plant for the manufacture of nitroglycerine. But the rest of the demands are purely vindictive and are obviously aimed at the ruin of the industry for peace purposes. Thus at Spandau the Allied officers have ordered the destruction of nearly half the lathes and boring machines in the locomotive repairing shops and in the shops for the manufacture of automobile parts. They have further forbidden the construction of a new steel rolling-mill, which alone will make the industry independent of the exertions of the German metal trusts for the supply of iron products. They have also ordered that the works, which were there during the war for the manufacture of shells, to remain!!!

It is obvious that there is foul play here. And it cannot be altogether an accident that the tactics of the Stinnes Trusts, attempting first to starve out and then to buy at a cheap price what is nationalized and that the French should consider this particularly cynical attack of the agents of Allied capitalism and militarism in Germany. However great may be the rivalry and jealousy of the competing groups of world capital, they are one in recognizing the necessity of making a united front against the attempts of the proletariat to secure control over production.

The Problems of Russian Financial Policy by F. Preobraschenski.

During the last three and a half years very little was spoken or written about our financial policy. But now it is the question everybody is discussing. And it does not remain mere talk, a great deal is being written and published on this financial policy, even more than appears in print. And that is not astonishing, as not only articles but numerous plans are written, and these plans more frequently drawn up by specialists than by Communists. This can also be explained in economic terms. The Communists have much more experience in economic questions, and are much less eager than the bourgeois national economists to spend their time on plan-scribbling. I will elsewhere enlarge upon the plans of the "Socialists", and the reasons why the mass of workers and peasants cannot understand it. I will explain in short what seems to us important for our financial policy.

General Problems:

1. The most important problem of our financial policy at present is, on the one hand, the gradual introduction of a sound currency, which is just as important for individual exchange of goods as for calculating the economic results of socialized big industries and on the other hand, the calculation of our entire material budget, based on the gold-standard of the world-market.

2. The main obstacle to the solution of this problem is our random issuing of paper-money. To this emission, we need only add that because of the lack of other means wherewith to cover the deficit in the budget, the solution of this problem must be postponed for some time. It is necessary, therefore, to restrict the emission every year. This restriction presupposes an advance in the field of production. This advance-in-hand in hand with other measures, must make it possible to renounce the revenue coming from note-emissions as a result of the creation of other sources of revenues.

3. There can be no question, however, of a restriction in emissions or of the adoption of a fixed plan with the purpose of achieving a sound currency, as long as the government does not know how large the pending emission must be in order to cover the operations in the ensuing year or half-year, and as long as the clamor for gold-money continues on the part of various officials. With a clamor of this kind it is altogether impossible to establish systematic management in any field whatsoever.

4. At present it is possible to assert that the chaos in the emission of currency is the greatest hindrance to the establishment of a new economic policy, particularly in the field of socialized industry.

Under existing circumstances it is more necessary than ever to arrive at a fixed budget-system within the limit which determines a permanent but systematically restricted emission. This system must consist of the following. On the basis of the calculation of the material needs of the country in 1921-22, it will be possible to determine, on the basis of the value in gold rubles at the pre war rate, the extent of the deficit which can be covered by an issue of paper money. (About 600,000,000 gold rubles based on pre-war rates.) The sum will be partitioned among the commissarates, with the exception of a
sum which will be put at the disposal of the department of the People's Commissariat for Supplementary Expenditures. The People's Commissariat for Finance is ordered to provide every month, by the 1st of next month, for the maintenance of public officials of a fixed amount of paper money, a sum determined on the basis, of prices current in free commerce. No public authority—nor even the Council of People's Commissaries—should receive throughout the year even one ruble outside of the amount of paper money intended on the basis of the gold-value. When the amount of paper-emission for 1922 is being established, the aim must be to lower the size of the emission (in gold-value) for that year, in comparison with the average of the years 1920-21.

5. The realization of this measure makes it possible not only to carry out the plan for stopping the issue of notes, but it leads to what is more necessary, the restriction in the necessary social expenditures of public authorities. It will perhaps lead various public officials to exercise greater economy, and will call into being a healthy exercise to cover expenditures through an increase in production, and not as now by issues of paper.

6. The restriction of emission must be balanced not only by an increase in production in the nationalized enterprises and economy in the expenditures of the government apparatus, but also by a very thorough and with the explicit assurance that the part of the taxes which the tax-papers will attempt to throw on the shoulders of the workers and their salaries, will be returned to labor. When the new taxes are put into force, an absolute provision must be made that though a part of the taxes fall on the workmen's salaries this must be made good through a raising of the basic wages or in some other way.

7. The program of action of the People's Commissariat for Finance for 1922 includes not only Denomination (issuing) of money-notes, which will make it much easier to establish a fixed budget, but also the task of reducing the emission of money by at least 20 per cent. Further, it must advance in the direction of introducing new taxes and increasing the old ones, as well as in the immediate reduction (in gold-value) of the amount of paper-money issued.

8. As the establishment of a system of regular emission of money-notes, fixed value for workmen's wages for the whole year takes on a semblance of firmly-established uniformity, the use of money to create an incentive to higher production and to establish a systematic economic accounting must at the same time guarantee to the economic organizations the right, in case of need, to demand wages exceeding the minimum wage, as well as lead the labor-unions to greater participation in the management of production.

9. Since the money in our budget represents only a part of the total budget of the Soviet government, and since without the ascertaining of the other part, that is, the income and expenditure-interests, so real picture can be drawn of our total income and expenditure, our next task must be to determine for the next year not only the extent of the issue of money (in gold-value) but also the total income in kind. This income consists of the total wealth produced in the socialized enterprises, plus the taxes in kind.

The calculation of the income of our government for the year 1922 must be based on the following:

1. The taxes-in-kind minus what must be given out before the end of the year. 2. The total value of all the products of socialized industry, considering the real progress of production (calculated in gold rubles on the basis of prices in the world-market). Official receipts from taxes and Government receipts from leased enterprises and from the sale to the population of goods imported from abroad. 4. The receipts through currency emission.

All these calculations must be based on the gold-value of the paper notes in the world-market, and, if need be, calculated on the basis of the average-value of the Soviet ruble.

In spite of all the difficulties and insufficiencies in the calculation of the first material budget of the first Socialist government of the entire world, these calculations nevertheless make it possible to introduce clarity and order into our budget-system and into all our material-economic accounting.

As the tax-in-kind plays a very big role in the material budget, it is necessary to determine it under the sign of industrial operation for a year, the real establishment of the program of production and the plan of expense-budgets and on the other hand the calculation of the income from the tax-in-kind, can only be calculated after the results of the harvest will be determined. For this reason the formation of all plans for production and for the entire budget, must always be postponed from the first of the January to the first of September. The plans of production for the year 1922 must therefore be made during the period of time from the first of January to the first of September. For this same length of time, that is for eight months, the first general budget in kind and gold for the whole republic, must be provided.

HELP FOR RUSSIA

An International Workers' Loan for the Russian Proletariat.

by A. Lasovsky (Moscow).

No matter what results the present proletarian international aid may achieve, the problem of a lasting relief will still remain unsolved. The situation is such that the question of the practical relations between the Russian and the international proletariat must be placed on the order of the day. Of course, the greatest aid that the international proletariat can offer to the Russian proletariat is the overthrow of their own bourgeoisie. As long as this does not happen, however, an economic co-operation between the workers' organizations of all countries and the Russian proletariat must be created.

Since the resumption of trade-relations with the West, capitalist syndicates are everywhere being organized, which are either desirous of gaining gigantic profits in a short time, or are entertaining the idea of decade-long exploitation, expecting a counter-revolution. On the other hand, the proletarian organisations can come to the aid of the proletarian State with money, machines, etc. This can be done by the Soviet Government issuing a loan, after an agreement with the European and American labor organizations, which loan is to be guaranteed by state enterprise and state industry. Thus European and American labor organisations become partial owners of certain factory-groups. Tha labor organisations would send special delegates to Russia, to study the situation in the enterprise in question. The organisation which had become a shareholder would, through representatives sent by foreign labor organisations, thus take part in the management of the particular factory-group.

Such action on the part of foreign labor organisations would be a revolutionary deed which would lessen the danger of a counter-revolution and strengthen Soviet Russia's position.

The Russian Proletariat would consider such aid not in the least as a gift, but as a loan which is to be paid back. From six to eight per cent interest would be paid into the strike funds of the various organisations, for capital invested in Russian enterprises. All this would lead to an economic strengthening of Soviet Russia on the one hand, and to the creation of a support for the foreign proletariat, in case of any conflict, on the other.

How would the necessary sum, which naturally amounts to hundreds of millions of dollars be raised? Every branch of industry of the foreign proletariat should be able to offer an hour's pay weekly for the raising of the necessary funds. With the money thus raised, the particular branch of industry becomes a share-holder of one or of a whole group of enterprises.

The bourgeoisie could give enormous sums; it does not do so, however, because it fears the economic reconstruction of Soviet Russia. But the international proletariat is also capable of gradually raising the necessary means, in order to become a shareholder in Russian factories and industrial enterprises.